

Non-Random Parameter Estimation

Given an observation y drawn from $p_\theta(y)$, how do we estimate the parameter θ ?
Here we assume **no** prior statistical knowledge of θ .

1. Terminology/Formulae:

(a) Bias

The bias of estimator $\hat{\theta}$ is $b(\hat{\theta}) = \mathbf{E}_\theta \{ \hat{\theta}(y) \} - \theta$.

An estimator is *unbiased* if $b(\hat{\theta}) = 0$.

(b) Sufficient statistic

A function $T(y)$ is a sufficient statistic for estimation of θ if $P_\theta(y|T(y))$ is not dependent on θ .

(c) Minimal sufficient statistic

A sufficient statistic for θ is minimal if it is a function of every other sufficient statistic for θ .

(d) Jensen's Inequality

If $f(x)$ is a convex function, then $\mathbf{E}\{f(X)\} \geq f(\mathbf{E}\{X\})$ with equality iff $X = \mathbf{E}\{X\}$ w.p. 1.

(e) Neyman-Fisher Factorization Theorem

$T(y)$ is a sufficient statistic for θ iff there exist functions g_θ and $h(y)$ such that

$$p_\theta(y) = g_\theta(T(y))h(y) \quad \forall \theta \in \Lambda, y \in \Gamma$$

(f) Rao-Blackwell Theorem

Suppose that $\hat{g}(y)$ is any unbiased estimator of $g(\theta)$ and that $T(y)$ is a sufficient statistic for θ . Define

$$\tilde{g}(T(y)) = \mathbf{E}_\theta \{ \hat{g}(Y) | T(Y) = T(y) \},$$

Then

- $\tilde{g}(T(y))$ is an unbiased estimator of $g(\theta)$
- $\mathbf{Var}_\theta \{ \tilde{g}(Y) \} \leq \mathbf{Var}_\theta \{ \hat{g}(Y) \}$ with equality iff $P_\theta [\tilde{g}(Y) = \hat{g}(Y)] = 1$.

(g) Complete family

The family $\{P_\theta; \theta \in \Lambda\}$ is said to be complete when $\mathbf{E}_\theta \{ f(Y) \} = 0 \quad \forall \theta \in \Lambda \Rightarrow P_\theta [f(Y) = 0] = 1$.

Note that if $\{P_\theta; \theta \in \Lambda\}$ is complete, all sufficient statistics for θ are trivial, i.e., every sufficient statistic is related to the observation by a 1 : 1 function.

(h) Complete sufficient statistic

Suppose $T(y)$ is sufficient for $\{P_\theta; \theta \in \Lambda\}$ and $T(Y) \sim Q_\theta$ when $Y \sim P_\theta$.

If $\{Q_\theta; \theta \in \Lambda\}$ is a complete family, then $T(y)$ is a complete sufficient statistic.

Any unbiased estimator that is a function of a complete sufficient statistic is unique and is the MVUE!

Complete sufficient statistics are minimal.

(i) Exponential Family

A class of distributions is said to be an exponential family if there exist the real-valued functions $C, Q_1, \dots, Q_m, T_1, \dots, T_m$, and h such that

$$p_\theta(y) = C(\theta)h(y) \exp \left\{ \sum_{l=1}^m Q_l(\theta)T_l(y) \right\} \quad \forall y \in \Gamma \subset \mathbb{R}^n, \forall \theta \in \Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^m$$

The T_l above are *complete sufficient statistics* when Λ contains an m -dimensional rectangle.

(j) The Information Inequality

Under suitable regularity conditions,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{Var}_\theta [\hat{\theta}(Y)] &\geq \frac{\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \mathbf{E}_\theta \{ \hat{\theta}(Y) \} \right]^2}{I_\theta} \\ \text{where } I_\theta &\equiv \mathbf{E}_\theta \left\{ \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log p_\theta(Y) \right)^2 \right\} \quad \text{Fisher's information} \\ &= -\mathbf{E}_\theta \left\{ \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} \log p_\theta(Y) \right\} \end{aligned}$$

Information lower bound attained $\Leftrightarrow p_\theta(y)$ a single-parameter exponential pdf.

(k) Cramér-Rao Lower Bound (CRLB)

If the estimator is unbiased, i.e., $\mathbf{E}_\theta \{ \hat{\theta}(Y) \} = \theta$, then information inequality becomes

$$\mathbf{Var}_\theta [\hat{\theta}(Y)] \geq \frac{1}{I_\theta}$$

Estimators which attain the CRLB are called *efficient*.

2. Procedure for finding a MVUE:

1. Find a complete sufficient statistic $T(y)$ for θ .
2. Find $\hat{g}(y)$, any unbiased estimator for $g(\theta)$.
3. Form $\tilde{g}(T(y)) = \mathbf{E}_\theta \{ \hat{g}(Y) | T(Y) = T(y) \}$.

3. Notes:

- Finding a complete sufficient statistic for a non-exponential family can be quite difficult.
- Form your unbiased estimator by exploiting the statistics of your observations.
This is part *ad hoc* procedure, part magic.
- The information inequality tells you what is the best you can do given the observations.
if $\hat{\theta}(y)$ achieves the CRLB then $\hat{\theta}(y) = \hat{\theta}_{\text{MVUE}}(y)$
but $\hat{\theta}(y) = \hat{\theta}_{\text{MVUE}}(y) \not\Rightarrow$ CRLB achieved